Cellana Smart Contract Audit Report



 \sim

contact@movebit.xyz



https://twitter.com/movebit_

Tue Feb 20 2024



Cellana Smart Contract Audit Report

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Project Information

Description	The Innovative Decentralized Exchange on Aptos
Туре	DeFi
Auditors	MoveBit
Timeline	Wed Jan 31 2024 - Tue Feb 06 2024
Languages	Move
Platform	Aptos
Methods	Architecture Review, Unit Testing, Manual Review
Source Code	https://github.com/Cellana-Finance/v1-core
Commits	<u>1cece7af6ac18d606120ed66c747fa791fb3467b</u> <u>83c1012afa5e22ac31383e6502f11895495c7e14</u>

1.2 Files in Scope

The following are the SHA1 hashes of the original reviewed files.

ID	File	SHA-1 Hash	
MOV	Move.toml	5bbb532897700b570f24dbec5d3b e1255bc126bf	
RPO	sources/rewards_pool.move	16c6d001f2cebc9bbad86a5a33d2 7ca0928d3742	
TWH	sources/token_whitelist.move	e8b7b768d15e04c710e442201e07 bcb330fcfe24	
ROU	sources/router.move	167b3bf34fb97380dd11f2cd7a55d d2d523f2db2	
VMA	sources/vote_manager.move	c16d7266dd0098419e2d5f9b0df42 d31db52f4e9	
EPO	sources/epoch.move	2a80c3260ee106435b5bd8e2898a 05fc13c8786c	
CWR	sources/coin_wrapper.move	71170d86af345cb14d42dad16230 640ddfce033a	
LPO	sources/liquidity_pool.move	fe61ef796be9f5a504a6abd4a0b34 627be0fa2a6	
СТО	sources/cellana_token.move	0e09f3836509e179712c3819bc3b7 23bb0d79854	
GAU	sources/gauge.move	8473e0b2595bf570793e6f25a0468 cb7d1dfd47e	
RPC	sources/rewards_pool_continuous. move	be53de90303cb105f011476e1be1 794212eee73e	

VES	sources/voting_escrow.move	831b928fefcd2925122c97172cf4a3 70fbc81ac2
MIN	sources/minter.move	bab2775d89d0ff910fa81541f0326 9cb4c68c7ca
PMA	sources/package_manager.move	2a90e4cd09ac707a5884a78682e2 931d36b6743c

1.3 Issue Statistic

ltem	Count	Fixed	Acknowledged
Total	5	5	0
Informational	1	1	0
Minor	1	1	0
Medium	3	3	0
Major	0	0	0
Critical	0	0	0

1.4 MoveBit Audit Breakdown

MoveBit aims to assess repositories for security-related issues, code quality, and compliance with specifications and best practices. Possible issues our team looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Integer overflow/underflow by bit operations
- Number of rounding errors
- Denial of service / logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting
- Unchecked CALL Return Values
- The flow of capability
- Witness Type

1.5 Methodology

The security team adopted the **"Testing and Automated Analysis"**, **"Code Review"** and **"Formal Verification"** strategy to perform a complete security test on the code in a way that is closest to the real attack. The main entrance and scope of security testing are stated in the conventions in the "Audit Objective", which can expand to contexts beyond the scope according to the actual testing needs. The main types of this security audit include:

(1) Testing and Automated Analysis

Items to check: state consistency / failure rollback / unit testing / value overflows / parameter verification / unhandled errors / boundary checking / coding specifications.

(2) Code Review

The code scope is illustrated in section 1.2.

(3) Formal Verification

Perform formal verification for key functions with the Move Prover.

(4) Audit Process

- Carry out relevant security tests on the testnet or the mainnet;
- If there are any questions during the audit process, communicate with the code owner in time. The code owners should actively cooperate (this might include providing the latest stable source code, relevant deployment scripts or methods, transaction signature scripts, exchange docking schemes, etc.);
- The necessary information during the audit process will be well documented for both the audit team and the code owner in a timely manner.

2 Summary

This report has been commissioned by Cellana-Finance to identify any potential issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of the Cellana smart contract, as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. In this audit, we have utilized various techniques, including manual code review and static analysis, to identify potential vulnerabilities and security issues.

During the audit, we identified 5 issues of varying severity, listed below.

ID	Title	Severity	Status
LPO-1	Unused Constant	Informational	Fixed
MIN-1	Calculation Formula Does Not Match The Comment	Minor	Fixed
ROU-1	Insufficient Validation for amounts_out	Medium	Fixed
ROU-2	Incorrect Condition Statement	Medium	Fixed
ROU-3	Logic Design of The swap_route_entry Function	Medium	Fixed

3 Participant Process

Here are the relevant actors with their respective abilities within the Cellana Smart Contract : The administrator can assign some management rights to an address, such as rates, outages, etc. For convenience, these rights are also classified as administrators. Admin

- The Admin can create the new gauge for LPs to stake and earn emissions from a new fees pool for veCELL voters to claim fees through create_gauge_entry().
- The Admin can set the status of the gauge to active through enable_gauge().
- The Admin can set the status of the gauge to inactive through disable_gauge().
- The Admin can set a new admin through update_operator().
- The Admin can set the governance through update_governance().
- The Admin can add tokens to the whitelist through whitelist_native_fungible_assets() and whitelist_coin<CoinType>().
- The Admin can publish a new package through upgrade().
- The Admin can set the pending team account of the minter through update_team_account() .
- The Admin can set the team rate of minter through set_team_rate() .
- The Admin can set the pauser of liquidity pool through set_pauser().
- The Admin can pause/unpause the liquidity pool through set_pause().
- The Admin can set the fee manager through set_fee_manager().
- The Admin can set the stable fee through set_stable_fee().
- The Admin can set the volatile fee through set_volatile_fee() .
- The Admin can set the swap fee through set_pool_swap_fee().

User

- The User can allocate voting weights to liquidity pools through an NFT through vote() .
- The User can vote for the current epoch with the same pools and weights as the last vote through poke().

- The User can propose all rewards for designated NFT including fees and incentives through claim_rewards_all<CoinType1,CoinType2, CoinType3, CoinType4, CoinType5, CoinType6, CoinType7,CoinType8, CoinType9, CoinType10, CoinType11, CoinType12, CoinType13, CoinType14,CoinType15,>()
- The User can extract specified incentive through claim_emissions_entry() .
- The User can extract all incentives through claim_emissions_multiple().
- The User can distribute emissions to pools based on the votes and collect swap fees from pools for voters to claim later through advance_epoch().
- The User can mint a veCELL NFT and lock \$CELL from the owner's primary store through create_lock_entry().
- The User can mint a veCELL NFT for others through create_lock_for().
- The User can increase the lockup duration of a veCELL NFT by the given number of epochs through extend_lockup .
- The User can deposit more \$CELL into a veCELL NFT through increase_amount_entry
- The User can withdraw \$CELL from an expired veCELL NFT and deposit into their primary store through withdraw_entry .
- The User can merge two veCELL NFT into one through merge().
- The User can split an nft into multiple nfts through split_entry().
- The User can claim all rebase rewards for a given NFT through claim_rebase()
- The User can swap token through swap_entry(), swap_coin_for_asset_entry<FromCoin>
 (), swap_asset_for_coin_entry<ToCoin>() or swap_coin_for_coin_entry<FromCoin, ToCoin> .
- The User can swap token via specify path through swap_route_entry(), swap_route_entry_from_coin<FromCoin>
 (), swap_route_entry_to_coin<ToCoin> or swap_route_entry_both_coins<FromCoin, ToCoin>().
- The User can add whitelisted tokens as incentives to the pool through incentivize_entry() and incentivize_coin_entry<CoinType>().

- The User can create a whitelisted tokens pool through create_pool() ,
 create_pool_coin<CoinType> or create_pool_both_coins<CoinType1, CoinType2>() .
- The User can add liquidity through add_liquidity_entry() , add_liquidity_both_coins_entry<CoinType1, CoinType2>() or add_liquidity_coin_entry<CoinType>() .
- The User can add liquidity and stake the lp token into gauge through add_liquidity_and_stake_entry(), add_liquidity_and_stake_both_coins_entry<CoinType1, CoinType2>() or add_liquidity_and_stake_coin_entry<CoinType>().
- The User can burn liquidity tokens to withdraw staked tokens through remove_liquidity_entry(), remove_liquidity_coin_entry<CoinType>(), remove_liquidity_both_coins<CoinType1, CoinType2> or remove_liquidity_both_coins_entry<CoinType1, CoinType2>().
- The User can withdraw liquidity tokens and burn them to withdraw staked tokens through unstake_and_remove_liquidity_entry() , unstake_and_remove_liquidity_coin_entry<CoinType>() or unstake_and_remove_liquidity_both_coins_entry<CoinType1, CoinType2>() .
- The User can transfer a given amount of liquidity tokens from the sender to the receiver through transfer().
- The User can update fee information through update_claimable_fees() .
- The User can stake liquidity tokens in gauge through stake().
- The User can unstake liquidity tokens in gauge through unstake().

4 Findings

LPO-1 Unused Constant

Severity: Informational

Status: Fixed

Code Location:

sources/liquidity_pool.move#46,55,57

Descriptions:

There are unused constants in the entire module.

Suggestion:

It is recommended to remove unused constants if there's no further design.

Resolution:

MIN-1 Calculation Formula Does Not Match The Comment

Severity: Minor

Status: Fixed

Code Location:

sources/minter.move#133

Descriptions:

The calculation formula in the current_rebase function comments is Rebase = weekly emission * (total veCELL voting power / total \$CELL supply) ^ 3 , while the actual calculation formula performed by the function is Rebase = weekly emission * (total veCELL voting power / total \$CELL supply) ^ 3 / 2 .

Suggestion:

It is recommended to confirm if it aligns with the design.

Resolution:

ROU-1 Insufficient Validation for amounts_out

Severity: Medium

Status: Fixed

Code Location:

sources/router.move#130

Descriptions:

In the swap_route_entry function, the assertion at L130 only validates the last value in the amounts_out array, which is insufficient to verify that all values in the array are correct.

Suggestion:

It is recommended to verify each value in the amounts_out array individually.

Resolution:

ROU-2 Incorrect Condition Statement

Severity: Medium

Status: Fixed

Code Location:

sources/router.move#253

Descriptions:

In the optimal_liquidity_amounts function, the conditional statement if (amount_2 <= amount_2_desired) is always true. According to the context logic, the parameter amount_2 should be changed to amount_2_optimal.

Suggestion:

It is recommended to modify the parameter amount_2 to amount_2_optimal .

Resolution:

ROU-3 Logic Design of The swap_route_entry Function

Severity: Medium

Status: Fixed

Code Location:

sources/router.move#119

Descriptions:

The swap_route_entry function first swaps the first token from the from_token array with the first token from the to_token array. Then, it swaps the resulting token with the second token from the to_token array, and so on. Finally, it transfers the token from the last swap to the recipient. Shouldn't the correct design be to swap each token in the from_token array with the corresponding token in the to_token array

Suggestion:

It is recommended to confirm if it aligns with the design.

Resolution:

Appendix 1

Issue Level

- **Informational** issues are often recommendations to improve the style of the code or to optimize code that does not affect the overall functionality.
- **Minor** issues are general suggestions relevant to best practices and readability. They don't post any direct risk. Developers are encouraged to fix them.
- **Medium** issues are non-exploitable problems and not security vulnerabilities. They should be fixed unless there is a specific reason not to.
- **Major** issues are security vulnerabilities. They put a portion of users' sensitive information at risk, and often are not directly exploitable. All major issues should be fixed.
- **Critical** issues are directly exploitable security vulnerabilities. They put users' sensitive information at risk. All critical issues should be fixed.

Issue Status

- **Fixed:** The issue has been resolved.
- **Partially Fixed:** The issue has been partially resolved.
- **Acknowledged:** The issue has been acknowledged by the code owner, and the code owner confirms it's as designed, and decides to keep it.

Appendix 2

Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documents provided, with a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete and do not include all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your own risk. A report does not imply an endorsement of any particular project or team, nor does it guarantee its security. These reports should not be relied upon in any way by any third party, including for the purpose of making any decision to buy or sell products, services, or any other assets. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, WE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, ITS CONTENT, RELATED SERVICES AND PRODUCTS, AND YOUR USE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NOT INFRINGEMENT.

